Remark

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application. Claims 30-58 are presented for examination.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection

Bluetooth in view of Kammer

The Examiner has rejected claims 30-43 and 45-55 under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over "Specification of the Bluetooth System-Part E", ("Bluetooth Specification"), in view of Kammer, U.S. Patent No. 6,826,387 ("Kammer"). The Examiner suggests that Item 950 in Kammer shows the use of a service name without an indication of the virtual communications port number.

Item 950 is a box labeled "USE THE SERVICE NAME TO LOCATE THE LEAGACY APPLICATION FOR THE CLIENT DEVICE." Paragraph 13, lines 6-14 explain that the service name obtained in the Bluetooth discovery protocol is displayed to the user. The user then browses through the applications installed on the user's device to find one with a name that corresponds to the displayed service name.

Claim 30, on the other hand recites, "sending a connection request from the first radio device to the second radio device..." This is obviously very different from a user browsing through applications as described for box 950.

Perhaps a closer analogy may be drawn to box 960. "ESTABLISH COMMUNICATION PATH TO THE LEGACY APPLICATION OVER THE VIRTUAL SERIAL PORTS." This box relates to establishing a connection. However, contrary to Claim 30, the connection is established over the virtual communication ports. There is nothing in Kammer to suggest "the connection request including the service

Docket No: 42390P9723 Application No: 09/752,643 04/11/2006 09:04 FAX 303 740 6962 B S T & Z

name to indicate the appropriate service, and not including an indication of the virtual

communications port through which the service record was received." Accordingly, it

must be assumed that Kammer will perform this step in the conventional manner as set

forth in the Bluetooth standard.

Pursuant to the remarks above, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 30 is

not rendered obvious by the references. The remaining claims are believed to be

allowable on the same or similar grounds, inter alia.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections have been overcome by the

amendment and remark, and that the claims as amended are now in condition for

allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and

the claims as amended be allowed.

Docket No: 42390P9723 Application No: 09/752,643

9/752,643

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: <u>April 11, 2006</u>

Gordon R. Lindeen III

Reg. No. 33,192

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Docket No: 42390P9723 Application No: 09/752,643